

Balliemeanoch Pumped Storage Hydro

Environmental Impact Assessment Report

Volume 5: Appendices Appendix 5.1: Landscape and Visual Methodology

ILI (Borders PSH) Ltd

July 2024

Delivering a better world

Prepared by	Checked by	Verified by	Approved by
Charlotte Williams	Robert Hewitt	Ruth Mauritzen	David Lee
Senior Landscape Architect	Associate Director	Associate Director	Technical Director

Revision History

Revision	Revision date	Details	Authorized	Name	Position
1	July 2024	Submission	DL	David Lee	Technical Director
Distribution	List				
# Hard Copies	PDF Required	Association / C	Company Name		

© 2024 AECOM Limited. All Rights Reserved.

This document has been prepared by AECOM Limited ("AECOM") for sole use of our client (the "Client") in accordance with generally accepted consultancy principles, the budget for fees and the terms of reference agreed between AECOM and the Client. Any information provided by third parties and referred to herein has not been checked or verified by AECOM, unless otherwise expressly stated in the document. No third party may rely upon this document without the prior and express written agreement of AECOM.

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Sensitivity of Landscape Receptors	1
1.2	Sensitivity of Visual Receptors	2
1.3	Landscape Magnitude of Effect	3
1.4	Visual Magnitude of Effect	4
1.5	Duration of Change	5
1.6	Significance of Effects	5
1.7	Wild Land Appraisal Methodology	7
2	Photomontage Methodology	8
2.1		8
2.2	Guidelines	8
2.3	Site Photography	8
2.4	Photo Stitching	8
2.5	Camera Matching	9
2.6	3D Modelling	9
2.7	Post-Production	9
3	References	9

Tables

Table 1 Sensitivity of landscape receptors	2
Table 2 Sensitivity of visual receptors	3
Table 3 Landscape magnitude of effect	4
Table 4 Visual magnitude of effect	5
Table 5 Significance of effect	6

1 Introduction

This section sets out the detailed methodology for the landscape and visual impact assessment (LVIA) contained in **Volume 2, Chapter 5: Landscape and Visual Assessment**. It takes into account best practice guidance and builds on the general assessment methodology presented in **Volume 2, Chapter 4: Approach to Environmental Impact Assessment** and develops this to take account of the range of likely significant effects on the landscape character and visual amenity arising from the construction and operation phases of the Development.

1.1 Sensitivity of Landscape Receptors

Landscape receptors are described as components of the landscape that are likely to be affected by the Development. These can include overall character and key characteristics, individual elements or features and specific aesthetic or perceptual aspects. It is the interaction between the different components of the Development and these landscape receptors which has potential to result in landscape effects (both adverse and beneficial).

The sensitivity of the landscape receptor has been derived by combining of the value of the landscape (undertaken as part of the baseline study) and the susceptibility to change of the receptor to the specific type of development being assessed.

Landscape value is frequently addressed by reference to international, national, regional, and local designations. Absence of such a designation does not necessarily imply a lack of quality or value. Factors such as accessibility and local scarcity can render areas of nationally unremarkable quality, highly valuable as a local resource. The evaluation of landscape value considers the following factors and classified as **very high**, **high**, **medium**, **low** and **very low** with evidence provided as to the basis of the evaluation:

- "Natural heritage Landscape with clear evidence of ecological, geological, geomorphological or physiographic interest which contribute positively to the landscape;
- Cultural heritage Landscape with clear evidence of archaeological, historical or cultural interest which contribute positively to the landscape;
- Landscape condition Landscape which is in a good physical state both with regard to individual elements and overall landscape structure;
- Associations Landscape which is connected with notable people, events and the arts;
- Distinctiveness Landscape that has a strong sense of identity;
- Recreational Landscape offering recreational opportunities where experience of landscape is important;
- Perceptual (scenic) Landscape that appeals to the senses, primarily the visual sense;
- Perceptual (wildness and tranquillity) Landscape with a strong perceptual value notably wildness, tranquillity and/or dark skies; and
- Functional Landscape which performs a clearly identifiable and valuable function, particularly in the healthy functioning of the landscape."

Landscape susceptibility relates to the ability of a particular landscape to accommodate the Development. It is assessed through consideration of the baseline characteristics of the landscape, and in particular, the scale or complexity of a given landscape. The evaluation of landscape susceptibility is defined as **very high**, **high**, **medium**, **low** and **very low** and is supported by a clear explanation based upon the analysis of the landscape receptor and the extent to which it is able to accommodate the changes that would result from the Development.

The overall sensitivity assessment of the landscape receptor has been made by applying professional judgement to combine and analyse the identified value and susceptibility ratings. Overall sensitivity has been rated as **very high, high, medium, low** and **very low**.

 Table 1 Sensitivity of landscape receptors below outlines indicators that inform landscape value, susceptibility and sensitivity. The basis of the assessment is made clear in the evaluation of each landscape receptor.

	Higher Sensitivity Indicators	Lower Sensitivity Indicators
Value	A designated landscape (National Park, National Scenic Area, World Heritage Site) or a landscape in very good condition, exceptional scenic quality and high recreational opportunities or a high degree of rarity.	Landscapes containing few if any notable elements / features, of poor condition or containing several detracting features and limited aesthetic qualities. Landscapes which are not formally designated.
Susceptibility	Attributes that make up the character of the landscape which offer very limited opportunities to accommodate change of the type proposed without fundamentally altering key characteristics.	Attributes that make up the character of the landscape which are tolerant of a large degree of the type of change proposed without fundamentally altering the key characteristics.

1.2 Sensitivity of Visual Receptors

Sensitivity of visual receptors has been defined through an appraisal of the viewing expectation, or value placed on the view as identified in the baseline study, and its susceptibility to change.

Value of the view is an appraisal of the value attached to views and is often informed by the appearance on Ordnance Survey or tourist maps and in guidebooks, literature and art, or identified in policy. Value can also be indicated by the provision of parking or services and signage and interpretation. The nature and composition of the view and its scenic quality is also an indicator. The value of the view has been classified as **very high**, **high**, **medium**, **low and very low** and is supported by evidenced, professional judgements.

The susceptibility of visual receptors to change has been established as a function of the occupation or activity of people experiencing the view, and the extent to which their attention or interest is focussed on the view and the visual amenity they experience. For example, walkers whose interest may tend to be focused on the landscape or a particular view, or visitors at an attraction where views are an important part of the experience, indicate a higher level of susceptibility. Conversely receptors engaged in outdoor sport where views are not important or receptors at their place of work are considered less susceptible to change.

Judgements about the susceptibility of visual receptors have been ascribed using very high, high, medium, low or negligible ratings using consistent and reasoned judgements.

The overall sensitivity assessment of the visual receptor has been determined by applying professional judgement to combine and analyse the identified value and susceptibility ratings. Overall visual sensitivity has been rated as **very high, high, medium, low** and **very low**. **Table 2 Sensitivity of visual receptors** below outlines indicators that inform value of the view, susceptibility and sensitivity of visual receptors. The basis of the assessment is made clear in the evaluation of each visual receptor.

Table 2 Sensitivity of visual receptors

	Higher Sensitivity Indicators	Lower Sensitivity Indicators
Value	Views protected by designation, or nationally recognised, or recorded on maps / guidebooks or with cultural associations. Views that have high scenic qualities relating to the content and composition of the view.	Views which are not documented or protected with minimal or no cultural associations. Views that exhibit low scenic qualities relating to the content and composition of the view.
Susceptibility	Viewers whose attention or interest is focused on their surroundings;	People whose attention or interest is not focused on their surroundings and where the view is incidental to their enjoyment;
	Residential properties and settlements where views contribute to the landscape setting enjoyed by residents; and	People travelling more rapidly on major roads, rail or transport routes not recognised as scenic routes;
	People engaged in outdoor recreation including users of cycle routes, long distance paths, public rights of way (PRoW) and visitors to heritage assets where views of the surroundings are an important contributor to experience.	People engaged in outdoor recreation which does not involve or depend upon appreciation of views of the landscape; and
		People at their place of work whose

1.3 Landscape Magnitude of Effect

Landscape magnitude of effect refers to the extent to which the Development would alter the existing characteristics of the landscape. It is an expression of the size or scale of change to the landscape, the geographical extent of the area influenced, and its duration and reversibility. The variables involved are:

• The extent of existing landscape elements that would be lost, the proportion of the total extent that this represents and the contribution of that element to the character of the landscape;

attention is not on their surroundings.

- The extent to which aesthetic or perceptual aspects of the landscape are altered either by removal of existing components of the landscape or by the addition of new components;
- Whether the change alters the key characteristics of the landscape that are integral to its distinctive character;
- The geographic area over which the change will be experienced (for example within the application boundary, the immediate setting around that boundary, at the local landscape character area scale, or on a larger scale influencing broader areas of landscape character);
- The duration of the change (i.e., short-term, medium term, or long term) and its reversibility (i.e., whether it is permanent, temporary, or partially reversible); and
- Landscape change can be both direct, through alteration of physical components, or indirect, resulting from changes to perceptual aspects of character and how it is experienced.

An overall assessment of the magnitude of landscape change resulting from the Development on landscape receptors has been made by combining the above judgements using evidence and professional judgement. The levels of landscape magnitude of change are described as being **very high**, **high**, **medium**, **low**, **very low** and **none** as defined in **Table 3 Landscape magnitude of effect** below.

Magnitude	Criteria		
Very High	Substantial alteration to the landscape receptor or may impact an extensive area or unique characteristics at a local level. May be longer term, permanent or reversible.		
High	Large alteration to the landscape receptor or may impact an extensive area or unique characteristics at a local level. May be longer term, permanent or reversible.		
Medium	Partial alteration to the landscape receptor or may impact a wide area or characteristics at a local level. May be medium term, permanent or reversible.		
Low	Slight alteration to the landscape receptor or may impact a restricted area and few key characteristics. May be short to medium term, permanent or reversible.		
Very Low	Very slight alteration to the landscape receptor or may impact a limited area or no key characteristics. May be short-term, permanent or reversible.		
None	No change to the landscape receptor.		

Table 3 Landscape magnitude of effect

1.4 Visual Magnitude of Effect

Visual magnitude of effect relates to the extent to which the Development would alter the existing view and is an expression of the size or scale of change in the view, the geographical extent of the area influenced and its duration and reversibility. The variables involved are described below:

- The scale of the change in the view with respect to the loss or addition of features in the view and changes in its composition, including the proportion of the view occupied by the Development;
- The degree of contrast or integration of any new features or changes in the form, scale, composition and focal points of the view;
- The nature of the view of the Development in relation to the amount of time over which it will be experienced, and whether views of this will be visible fully, partially or glimpsed;
- The angle of view in relation to the main activity of the receptor, distance of the viewpoint from the Development and the extent of the area over which the changes would be visible; and
- The duration of the change (i.e., short-term, medium term, or long term), as defined in Volume 2, Chapter
 4: Approach to Environmental Impact Assessment, and its reversibility (i.e., whether it is permanent, temporary, or partially reversible).

An overall assessment of the magnitude of visual change resulting from the Development on the visual receptor has been made combining the above judgements using evidence and professional judgement. The levels of visual magnitude of change are described as being **very high, high, medium, low, very low** and **none** as defined in Table 4 Visual magnitude of effect, below.

Table 4 Visual magnitude of effect

Magnitude	Criteria	
Very High	A substantial change to the composition of the view or change that may be viewed in the foreground or directly. May be longer term, permanent or reversible.	
High	A pronounced change to the composition of the view or change that may be viewed in the foreground or directly. May be longer term, permanent or reversible.	
Medium	A noticeable change to the composition of the view or change that may be viewed in the middle ground or indirectly. May be medium term, permanent or reversible.	
Low	An unobtrusive change in the composition of the view or change that may be viewed in the background or obliquely. May be short to medium term, permanent or reversible.	
Very Low	A barely perceptible change in the composition of the view or change that may be viewed in the background and/or very obliquely. May be short-term, permanent or reversible.	
None	No change to the view.	

1.5 Duration of Change

For the purposes of this LVIA, the duration of change associated with the landscape and visual magnitude of effect is considered to be as follows:

- Short-term: 0-4 years;
- Medium-term: 5-10 years; and
- Long-term: 11+ years.

1.6 Significance of Effects

As set out in **Volume 2, Chapter 4: Approach to Environmental Impact Assessment** the general approach taken to determining the significance of effect in this preliminary assessment is only to state whether effects are likely or unlikely to be significant, rather than assigning significance levels.

Determination of the significance of landscape and visual effects has been undertaken by employing professional judgement and experience to combine and analyse the magnitude of change against the identified sensitivity of landscape and visual receptors.

The landscape assessment has taken account of direct and indirect changes to existing landscape elements, features, key characteristics and evaluates the extent to which these would be lost or modified, in the context of their importance in determining the existing baseline character.

The visual assessment has taken account of the likely changes to the visual composition, including the extent to which new features would distract or screen existing elements in the view or disrupt the scale, structure, or focus of the existing view.

The significance of landscape and visual effects are described with reference to the criteria presented in Table 5 Significance of effect, below. For the purposes of this assessment, effects rated as being of **moderate or major significance are considered to be significant**.

Table 5 Significance of effect

Significance of Effect	Landscape	Visual	
Major Beneficial	Alterations that result in a considerable improvement of the existing landscape resource. Valued characteristic features would be restored or reintroduced.	Alterations that typically result in a pronounced improvement in the existing view.	
Moderate Beneficial	Alterations that result in a partial improvement of the existing landscape resource. Valued characteristic features would be largely restored or reintroduced.	Alterations that typically result in a noticeable improvement in the existing view.	
Minor Beneficial	Alterations that result in a slight improvement of the existing landscape resource. Characteristic features would be partially restored.	Alterations that typically result in a limited improvement in the existing view.	
Negligible Beneficial	Alterations that result in a very slight improvement to the existing landscape resource, not uncharacteristic within the receiving landscape.	Alterations that typically result in a barely perceptible improvement in the existing view.	
Neutral	No alteration to any of the components that contribute to the existing landscape resource.	No change to the existing view.	
Negligible Adverse	Alterations that result in a very slight deterioration to the existing landscape resource, not uncharacteristic within the receiving landscape.	Alterations that typically result in a barely perceptible deterioration in the existing view.	
Minor Adverse	Alterations that result in a slight deterioration of the existing landscape resource. Characteristic features would be partially lost.	Alterations that typically result in a limited deterioration in the existing view.	
Moderate Adverse	Alterations that result in a partial deterioration of the existing landscape resource. Valued characteristic features would be largely lost.	Alterations that typically result in a noticeable deterioration in the existing view.	
Major Adverse	Alterations that result in a considerable deterioration of the existing landscape resource. Valued characteristic features would be wholly lost.	Alterations that typically result in a pronounced deterioration in the existing view.	

Following consultation with NatureScot, it has been agreed that a full Wild Land Assessment has been scoped out due to the very limited potential for significant effects on the special qualities of the two WLAs that lie within the Study Area. It has been agreed that the landscape assessment will include an appreciation of any potential change to the relevant special qualities for WLA 09 Loch Etive Mountains. This methodology associated with this appraisal follows parts of the approach set out in guidance for Wild Land Assessment published by NatureScot in 2020 (**Ref 1**).

2 Photomontage Methodology

2.1 Introduction

This document details how the AECOM Digital Media Team in Belfast prepares photomontages. Depending on the project location we either take the photography ourselves or use a trusted sub-contractor.

2.2 Guidelines

The photomontages that we prepare are based on guidance from the following publications:

- Visual Representation of Development Proposals Technical Guidance Note 06/19 (**Ref 2**) Landscape Institute, 2019.
- Photography and Photomontage in Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Advice Note 01-11 (Ref 3) Landscape Institute, 2011.
- Guidelines for Landscape & Visual Impact Assessment (Third Edition) (Ref 4) Landscape Institute and IEMA, 2013.

We also refer to the guidance published by the Scottish Natural Heritage (now NatureScot). Although specific to wind farms this offers guidance on photography and the presentation of visualisations.

• Visual Representation of Wind Farms Version 2.2 (Ref 5) – Scottish Natural Heritage, February 2017.

2.3 Site Photography

- The procedure for taking photography on site is described below:
- Site visits are planned around time of day and taking the weather into consideration. The photos are best taken with the sun behind the camera. This means views facing west are best taken in the morning and views facing east in the afternoon.
- Photos are taken using a full-frame format camera with a fixed 50mm focal length mounted to a panoramic head on a steady tripod.
- The camera is levelled in both pitch and roll referencing a bubble level or electronic 'virtual horizon' feature in the camera.
- Manual camera settings used to ensure consistent exposure across all photos taken.
- A GPS device is used to record the camera location.
- Photos are taken using a remote shutter release to eliminate any camera shake.
- The panoramic head is rotated to the next interval using the built-in step rotator and another photo is taken using the remote shutter release. This is repeated until a full 360 degree sweep of photos is taken.

2.4 Photo Stitching

When dealing with panoramic views the photos are loaded into specialist photo stitching software (PTGui Pro). The images are automatically corrected for lens distortion and stitched to create a full 360 degree image. Adjustments can be made to manually correct the blend between images where appropriate.

The resulting image is output as Spherical projection to correctly match the virtual camera to be used later in the 3D software. The software can remap images as cylindrical or planar projection in accordance with Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) requirements.

2.5 Camera Matching

A virtual camera is positioned in the 3D software (Autodesk 3ds Max) according to the same real-world position and height as per the GPS data. This camera is set-up to match the field of view as the stitched panorama. The stitched image is loaded as the camera back plate.

The camera target is aligned to match existing elements visible in the photograph. For Balliemeanoch Pumped Storage Hydro project, Ordnance Survey Terrain 5m data is used to match features in the photography.

2.6 3D Modelling

We check that the CAD data provided by the design team is georeferenced by noting some points in the drawing data and seeing if they are in the range expected for the area. We then check where the scheme sits and how it ties in with existing. Once checked, using this data, a three-dimensional computer model is created using the Autodesk 3ds Max; and augmented with added details where required, to achieve a realistic representation of the proposals.

The 3D model for Balliemeanoch Pumped Storage Hydro project has potential limitations. The design is at an early stage, hence (1) no design for cut/fill yet, so a flat level for each permanent compound was assumed from the middle contour of where it sits on; (2) no detailed design for the tunnel portals yet, so typical models with provided dimensions were used to illustrate them; (3) no detailed design for the switchgear yet, so the switchyard compound is shown with buildings on it only.

2.7 Post-Production

The daylight settings in the scene are matched to the time and location of the original photography.

The virtual camera views are rendered and composited into the background photography using Adobe Photoshop. The images are adjusted to mask the correct parts of the render behind existing elements in the photography. Proposed mitigation is added as per landscape design drawings and planting information. A wireline version can also be produced to illustrate how the scheme is sited in the bare-earth terrain.

3 References

Ref 1 NatureScot. (2020). Assessing impacts on Wild Land Areas – technical guidance [Online] Available: https://www.nature.scot/doc/assessing-impacts-wild-land-areas-technical-guidance (Accessed: 07/02/2024).

Ref 2 Landscape Institute. (2019). Visual Representation of Development Proposals Technical Guidance Note 06/19 [Online] Available: <u>https://www.landscapeinstitute.org/visualisation/</u> (Accessed: 01/03/2024).

Ref 3 Landscape Institute. (2011). Photography and Photomontage in Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Advice Note 01-11 [Online] Available: <u>https://www.landscapeinstitute.org/visualisation/photography-and-photomontage/</u> (Accessed: 01/03/2024).

Ref 4 Landscape Institute and IEMA. (2013). Guidelines for Landscape & Visual Impact Assessment (Third Edition). Routledge.

Ref 5 Scottish Natural Heritage. (2017). Visual Representation of Wind Farms Version 2.2 [Online] Available: <u>https://www.nature.scot/doc/visual-representation-wind-farms-guidance</u> (Accessed: 01/03/2024).

